

References

Asare, S., Mitchell, R., & Rose, P. (2020). How equitable are South-North partnerships in education research? Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 0, 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2020.1811638>

California State University Meriam Library. (2010). Evaluating Information: Applying the CRAAP Test <https://library.csuchico.edu/sites/default/files/craap-test.pdf>

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. (2018). CASP Systematic Review Checklist. https://casp-uk.net/images/checklist/documents/CASP-Systematic-Review-Checklist/CASP-Systematic-Review-Checklist-2018_fillable-form.pdf

DeJaeghere, J., Morrow, V., Richardson, D., Schowengerdt, B., Hinton, R., Muñoz Boudet, A. (2020). Guidance Note on Qualitative Research in Education: Considerations for Best Practice. London. Department for International Development, prepared for Building Evidence in Education (BE2). <https://www.edulinks.org/sites/default/files/media/file/Guidance%20Note%20on%20Qualitative%20Research%20final2020.pdf>

Education.org (2021). Calling for an Education Knowledge Bridge: A White Paper to Advance Evidence Use in Education. <https://whitepaper.education.org/>

Hong, Q.N., Pluye, P., Fabregues, S., Bartlett, G., Boardman, F., Cargo, M., Dagenais, P., Gagnon, M., Griffiths, F., Nicolau, B., O’Cathain, A., Rousseau, M., & Vedel, I. (2018). Mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT) version 2018: User guide. McGill Department of Family Medicine. http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/w/file/attach/127916259/MMAT_2018_criteria-manual_2018-08-01_ENG.pdf

IDRC (2022). The International Development Research Centre Research Quality Plus (RQ+) Assessment Instrument. https://idrc-crdi.ca/sites/default/files/sp/Documents%20EN/idrc_rq_assessment_instrument_september_2017.pdf

Kothari, A., Rudman, D., Dobbins, M., Rouse, M., Sibbald, S., & Edwards, N. (2012). The use of tacit and explicit knowledge in public health: A qualitative study. *Implement Science*, 7(20).

Lewin, S., Booth, A., Glenton, C., Munthe-Kaas, H., Rashidian, A., Wainwright, M., Bohren, M.A., Tunçalp, Ö., Colvin, C.J., Garside, R., Carlsen, B., Langlois, E.V., & Noyes, J. (2018). Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings: Introduction to the series.

Implementation Science, 13(Suppl 1). <https://implementationscience.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13012-017-0688-3>

Lewin S, Langlois EV, Tunçalp Ö, Portela A. (2024). Assessing unConventional Evidence (ACE) tool: Development and content of a tool to assess the strengths and limitations of ‘unconventional’ source materials. *Health research policy and systems*, 22(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-023-01080-9>

Lockwood C, Munn Z, Porritt K. (2015). Qualitative research synthesis: Methodological guidance for systematic reviewers utilizing meta-aggregation. *Int J Evid Based Health* 13(3):179–187.

Wilson, G. (2025). Peer review is meant to prevent scientific misconduct. But it has its own problems. Blog on *The Conversation* March 21, 2025. <http://theconversation.com/peer-review-is-meant-to-prevent-scientific-misconduct-but-it-has-its-own-problems-248015>

McArthur A, Klugarova J, Yan H, Florescu S. (2020). Chapter 4: Systematic reviews of text and opinion. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors), *JB I Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. JBI. <https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL/4688599/Chapter+4%3A+Systematic+reviews+of+text+and+opinion>

Mitchell, R., Rose, P., (2018). Literature search protocol for the African Education Research Database. Methodological Note. REAL Centre, University of Cambridge. https://www.educ.cam.ac.uk/centres/real/downloads/REAL%20Policy%20Brief%20Mapping%20education%20research%20in%20SSA_FINAL.pdf

OECD (2022), *Who Cares about Using Education Research in Policy and Practice?: Strengthening Research Engagement, Educational Research and Innovation*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/d7ff793d-en>

OECD (2023). *Who Really Cares about Using Education Research in Policy and Practice? Developing a Culture of Research Engagement, Educational Research and Innovation*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/bc641427-en>

Robey, D., Taylor, W.T., & Grabowski, L.J. (2018). Pragmatic rigor: Principles and criteria for conducting and evaluating practitioner scholarship. *Engaged Management ReView*, 2(3), 51-64. <https://commons.case.edu/emr/vol2/iss3/2/>

Schöpfel, Joachim. (2010) Towards a Prague definition of grey literature. Twelfth International Conference on Grey Literature: Transparency in Grey Literature. Grey Tech Approaches to High Tech Issues. Prague, 6-7 December 2010, Czech Republic. pp.11-26. https://greynet.org/images/GL12_S1P_Schöpfel.pdf

Schünemann, H., Brožek, J., Guyatt, G., & Oxman A. (Eds.) (2013). *GRADE Handbook*. <https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html>

Tyndall, J. (2010). AACODS checklist. Flinders University. <https://fac.flinders.edu.au/dspace/api/core/bitstreams/e94a96eb-0334-4300-8880-c836d4d9a676/content>

Windward Community College Library. (2023). *Research on reading: The '6 W's'* <https://library.wcc.hawaii.edu/reading/questions>